## COVID vaccine science catching up with 'conspiracy theorists'

OTN



as people that rationally asked questions of novel products that were rushed out the door, to help stem a pandemic that was far less deadly than all other causes, including cardiovascular disease, cancer, and even tobacco use (and note that COVID-19 deaths tend to be <u>inflated</u>). Publishing in the *Polish Annals of Medicine*, Thoene conducts a limited literature review on the reporting of COVID-19 vaccine severe adverse events in scientific journals, finding: "From 2020 to 2024, the literature has gone from claiming there are absolutely no SAEs from mRNA based vaccines (2020/2021) to an acknowledgment of a significant number of various SAEs (2023/2024); including but not limited to neurological

complications, myocarditis, pericarditis and thrombosis. ... The early scientific

literature was biased, so as not to report SAEs, due to social and political concerns

catch up with the 'conspiracy theorists' and 'anti-vaxxers' such as myself, also known

and overwhelming corporate greed. Only in the last year have scientists been able to publish articles that acknow-ledge a high number of SAEs linked to mRNA based vaccines. This should act as a warning that science should be completely objective when evaluating health risks, but can often be influenced by social and economic considerations." Source. Proving once again that Eastern Europeans are based (the Hungarians stand up to the EU on immigration [source], and the Bulgarians published my little study on the correlation between COVID-19 vaccination and European excess mortality), the Polish journal kindly accepted my brief response, entitled 'Scientific views around mRNA based covid vaccines are changing, but to what end?', praising them and Thoene for

• Thacker, on "issues such as data falsification and patient unblinding concerning Pfizer's vaccine trial". • Fraiman et al., on the "excess risk of serious adverse events of special interest with the mRNA vaccines". • Benn et al., on there being "no statistically significant decrease in COVID-19

this important paper, and noting that this is only the tip of the iceberg. Source. There

is so much more in the published science that most people are unaware of, such as:

- deaths in the mRNA vaccine clinical trials, while there was an increase (also not statistically significant) in total deaths".
- likes me...) on "counting window issues (such as counting window delays, counting window biases, and counting window misclassifications), likely leading to
- were even ascribed to unvaccinated groups". Note that Mead et al. discussed some similar issues and yet was astonishingly retracted. • Faksova et al., which Thoene barely mentioned, and which demonstrated that the vaccines are associated with several concerning adverse effects, despite employing a counting window endpoint of only 42 days following vaccination. • Raethke et al., "which noted a rate of serious adverse drug reactions of approximately 1 per 400 people", which I note compares "very unfavourably with UK government estimates on the <u>numbers needed to vaccinate</u> in young and
- Mostert et al., on the "mysterious problem of excess mortality post-pandemic, which they hint could be related to the COVID-19 vaccines", and my aforementioned <u>Bulgarian Medicine</u> article demonstrating that there are indeed
  - effects". This "led to some discussion in major medical journals such as the BMJ [and also AJGP], with the most common excuse for this phenomenon being that there must be some confounding variable at play", an "excuse that somehow does not apply before vaccine effectiveness crosses the x-axis, indicating a clear double standard (one of many) in how the vaccines are evaluated".

• Fürst et al. (those Eastern Europeans again!), on evidence "that a healthy vaccinee

bias is at play", which "would further imply that the effectiveness of the COVID-

19 vaccines is being exaggerated, beyond the effects of counting window issues

• The "substantive critiques appearing in influential medical journals of major observational studies purporting the benefits of the vaccines (with more on the way)". These include my BMJ rapid response on the WHO's jab study and the little academic debate between myself and a team from Johns Hopkins. Much more coming soon... Still wondering how I managed to get this published, I end with a stark warning for

to be a feckless vaccine.

There have already been many legal actions, including victories (as with myself),

initiated on behalf of the (somehow still alive) unvaccinated who were persecuted

over a pharmaceutical product that they clearly did not need, and the vaccinated

who have died and otherwise been injured as a result of vaccination. I anticipate

that many more lawsuits are on the horizon, involving - amongst others - the

"There is clearly much research on the COVID-19 vaccines, published in the

biggest medical journals, which greatly contradict the mainstream and early, as well

as ongoing, claims concerning their safety and effectiveness, and even necessity, for

all. There is much more not mentioned in this brief article, and there is no doubt

vaccine manufacturers; the government officials that approved, encouraged, and even mandated the vaccines; and the many doctors and scientists who effectively Share

**G** 41 Discussion about this post You don't have to say nobody likes you because I am a fan of all substack authors who dig out the truth 9 replies by Raphael Lataster, PhD and others

**Discussions** Latest Top

Fred Jewett Fred Jewett Oct 5 V Liked by Raphael Lataster, PhD

Everyone debating the merits of the COVID-19 vaccines needs to take these 4 journal articles into account. JAN 18 · RAPHAEL LATASTER, PHD **9** 343 **O** 86

**7** 113 **D** 16 See all

An unofficial series of 4 crucially important medical journal articles (JECP4), 2 by me,

appearing in major academic publisher Wiley's Journal of...

Ready for more?

derekcbishop@hushmail.com Subscribe © 2024 Okay Then News • Privacy • Terms • Collection notice **Start Writing** Get the app

Substack is the home for great culture

• The JECP4 articles by Doshi's team and Lataster's team (of one, because nobody exaggerated effectiveness and safety estimates" in the clinical trials and major observational studies, with one of the major problems being "when COVID-19 infections are being overlooked in the 'partially vaccinated,' and in some cases

correlations between COVID-19 vaccination and European excess deaths. • Of course, my 'favourite' topic, COVID-19 vaccine negative effectiveness, where

"the vaccines increase the chance of COVID-19 infection, and even COVID-19

death, a 'benefit' which is of course a poor trade-off for the risk of (other) adverse

healthy people to prevent a severe COVID-19 hospitalisation being in the

hundreds of thousands".

those who partook in the deadly con:

- and other data manipulations, even when declining to zero and beyond".
- more to come. It seems obvious to me, that at least for the young and healthy, COVID-19 vaccines are most certainly not worth the risk, even when considering just a single adverse effect (myocarditis), no matter how rare it is purported to be serious COVID-19 in the young and healthy is rarer still, and the same is even more true when considering the little to no benefits offered by what increasingly appears

betrayed their professions and public trust in encouraging the use of these flawed products based on very limited and even manipulated scientific evidence." Of course, while the science is starting to catch up, and the lawsuits are continuing apace (source), we're still being told by our governments and mainstream media to roll up our sleeves, even those of us as young as 6 months. Source and source. Okay then.

Okay Then News (and the associated forum at

CovidSkeptics.com) is my personal collection of

evidences against mainstream narratives, made

freely available to the public. Subscribe for free

email updates, here.

If you wish to donate or support me, as I fight for

our rights, including doing the necessary

research, and attempt to pick up the pieces after

they took everything from me (and continue to),

you can sign up for a voluntary paid subscription,

here.

Subscribe

Subscribe

Share

000

000

derekcbishop@hushmail.com

derekcbishop@hushmail.com

382

Comments

Restacks

Write a comment...

and put it in print.

382 Likes · 41 Restacks

Fred Jewett Fred Jewett Oct 4 Liked by Raphael Lataster, PhD C LIKE (50) REPLY T SHARE

This comment is in response to Robert Malone's reposting of your fine article. IMHO Covid and the

government. They had to have some iatrogenic deaths to scare people to take the vaccine and this is

vaccine was never about a health issue. I look at it as a means to damage the Western Worlds economies

and funnel Pharma and pandemic money to elite organizations to fund their desire to implement one world

where the biggest crime occured. Those who took the covid vax not realizing its damaging contents were

the second biggest crime. It is unlikely the perpetrators of this crime will ever be brought to justice as one murder is a tragic crime, genocide is a statistic. C LIKE (33) REPLY T SHARE 5 replies by Raphael Lataster, PhD and others 110 more comments...

COVID-19 vaccine negative effectiveness further discussed

in major medical journals

JUL 1 · RAPHAEL LATASTER, PHD

academics find

FEB 28 · RAPHAEL LATASTER, PHD

**©** 655

I don't like to say things are huge, but this is huge.

**2**25 Dodgy data: COVID vaccine clinical trials show counting window issues, exaggerating safety

Science summary: COVID-19 vaccines' effectiveness and safety exaggerated in clinical trials & observational studies,