Why No One's Going to Jail for the COVID Vax Scam

The trials were rigged, the regulators complicit, and the laws built to protect them — and that's exactly how they got away with it.

TURFSEER
MAR 29, 2025

50 13 7 Share

INTRODUCTION

In a recent piece, investigative journalist Jon Rappoport dissects the clinical trial protocols for the COVID-19 vaccines — and what he uncovers is both damning and devastating. Citing sources like *The BMJ* and *The New York Times*, Rappoport explains how Pfizer, Moderna, and AstraZeneca designed their clinical trials not to measure the vaccine's ability to prevent hospitalization or death, but merely to reduce mild symptoms — the equivalent of preventing a cold.

He accuses the pharmaceutical companies, the FDA, and high-level political actors — including Donald Trump, who still praises Pfizer's CEO — of either ignorance, complicity, or willful blindness. His conclusion? The entire vaccine operation was engineered to *appear* successful while sidestepping the very metrics that matter most: saving lives and preventing serious illness.Given the scale of the alleged deception, Rappoport asks: **Why aren't any of these people being prosecuted?**

Here's the legal and institutional roadmap for how — and why — they're skating away scot-free.

1. The Illusion of Legality: How Bad Science Can Still Be "Legal"

At the heart of the issue lies a brutal paradox: clinical trials that were designed to avoid proving efficacy against severe COVID outcomes — the kind of data the public actually cares about — were nonetheless *technically compliant* with existing regulatory standards.

As long as the vaccine trials met minimal benchmarks set by the FDA — for example, a 50% reduction in mild symptomatic cases — they qualified for *Emergency Use Authorization* (EUA). In short, the bar was set so low it could be tripped over, not jumped. This wasn't illegal; it was **legal by design**.

Even though Rappoport and other critics rightly point out that the public was led to believe the vaccines would prevent hospitalizations and death, the vaccine makers can argue they never promised this in the clinical trial documents themselves — just in the marketing and public relations blitz that followed.

2. The PREP Act: A Preemptive Shield Against Accountability

Even if one were to argue that the trial design was misleading or unethical, the **Public Readiness and Emergency Preparedness Act** (**PREP Act**) gives pharmaceutical companies, manufacturers, and government officials **legal immunity** from liability for claims related to the manufacture, testing, distribution, and administration of medical countermeasures during a public health emergency.

This means you can't sue Pfizer or Moderna for vaccine injuries — or trial fraud — unless you can prove "willful misconduct" under a very narrow and difficult-to-meet standard. And even then, the federal government controls whether such cases move forward. The PREP Act was designed to *protect bad actors* during a crisis — so long as they claimed good intentions.

3. Qualified Immunity and the "I Was Just Listening to the Experts" Defense

Government officials, including presidents, health department leaders, and regulators like the FDA and CDC, are protected under various forms of **qualified immunity** — a legal doctrine that shields them from civil liability unless it can be shown they knowingly violated "clearly established" law.

So even if the policy decisions caused harm, as long as they can say they relied on expert consensus or followed existing guidelines, they are untouchable. The fallback line is always some variation of:

> "I made the best decision I could with the information I had at the time."

This isn't just a defense — it's a fortress. Unless you catch them on camera saying, "*Let's run fake trials and poison the peasants*," they walk.

4. Regulatory Capture: When the Watchdogs Work for the Wolves

Rappoport's broader implication is that the FDA and other agencies were not just fooled — they were in on the scam. This is a classic case of **regulatory capture**, where the institutions tasked with policing an industry become beholden to it.

Top FDA officials routinely leave their posts and end up on the boards of the very companies they once regulated. This revolving door ensures that no one bites the hand that will later feed them a seven-figure salary.

In legal terms, this creates a **mutual protection racket**. The regulator doesn't challenge the company, the company doesn't blow the whistle on the agency, and both point to each other when things go wrong.

5. The PR Coup: When the Lie Becomes the Law

Finally, perhaps the most insidious protection for these actors is **mass perception**. Through relentless media messaging — "safe and effective," "get vaccinated to protect others," "trust the science" — public trust was engineered, not earned.

By the time the actual clinical trial criteria became a matter of public record, the narrative had already hardened. Challenging it became not just controversial but **socially unacceptable**. Prosecutors, politicians, and journalists had no incentive to question what had become political orthodoxy.

In a court of law, this doesn't help you much. But in the court of public opinion? It makes prosecution nearly impossible — because no one wants to admit they were duped.

Conclusion: The System Isn't Broken — It's Rigged

Rappoport's findings are damning. The vaccine trials were designed not to prove what mattered. The regulators didn't care. The companies got rich. The politicians got applause. And the public got injected — often under coercion.

But none of this breaks the law — because the law was written to allow it. This is the genius (and the horror) of modern technocratic governance: it **manufactures consent, legalizes deception, and shields the perpetrators from accountability.**

Until those laws are rewritten — and until the public demands trials not just in labs but in courtrooms — the answer to "Why aren't they being prosecuted?" is simple: **Because they don't have to be.**

Thanks for reading Turfseer's Newsletter!
Subscribe for free to receive new posts and support my work.
dereksbishop@hushmail.com Subscribe

18 Likes · 7 Restacks
50 13 7 Share
Previous Next

Discussion about this post
Comments Restacks
Write a comment...

Jonathan Engler Jonathan's Substack Mar 29 Edited
Liked by Turfseer
You're implying that the trial designs were set up to measure irrelevant endpoints (I agree) but you imply (through omission) that there are no issues with the way they were conducted, which is wrong.
There is in fact much evidence of serious wrongdoing in relation to trial monitoring, data collection, analysis and reporting.
Whilst these are potential vulnerabilities for legal action, it seems to me that such actions are almost certainly doomed to fail.
So far, the current US Administration looks like it's merely a changing of the guard in a Uniparty operation which involved approving and rolling these products out with essentially no regulatory oversight.
I'm not sure how much longer I'm prepared to give the RFK savior narrative (though he IS signaling that he's prepared to tackle some problems.)
I'm horrified that official US Gov agency guidance is still to inject babies (and others for that matter) multiply with these products.
LIKED (45) REPLY SHARE
2 replies by Turfseer and others

SAMO SAMO Mar 29
Liked by Turfseer
Regarding #3. "This isn't just a defense — it's a fortress. Unless you catch them on camera saying, "Let's run fake trials and poison the peasants," they walk."
Several of these players have come pretty darn close to crossing this line. Bill Gates? Isn't he on record for his stance on de-populating the planet? Wasn't he was kicked out of India for admitting to using the children of India as a grand inoculation experiment - with disastrous results?
And Regarding your answer to the question: Why no prosecution?
Your answer: They don't have to.
You must add: They don't WANT to.
Laws must change.
LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE
11 more comments...

Top Latest Discussions
Chilling report from Holland's Geert Wilders
And you thought it was bad here?
AUG 11, 2024 · TURFSEER
Where Have You Gone Tiffany Dover?
Turfseer's new hit song is here. Get the first peek.
DEC 6, 2022 · TURFSEER
The Alternative Covid-19 Narrative Handbook
A collection of useful links
NOV 13, 2022 · TURFSEER
See all

Ready for more?
dereksbishop@hushmail.com Subscribe

© 2025 Turfseer · Privacy · Terms · Collection notice
Start writing Get the app
Substack is the home for great culture