

FAVORITES

**2017 CDC study shows there is no scientific...**

STEVE KIRSCH OCT 22, 2025  474  129  78

Not that science will change anything, but people who think they are "saving lives" with vaccine for kids to attend school are both delusional and anti-science.

[Read →](#)

**129 Comments**



Write a comment...



**WayneBGood**  | Protest Oct 22 *Edited*

Just like fluoride, which is now being banned from water supplies. However, fluoride in the supply was often mandated by municipalities that did not thoroughly check the science.

So a valid and double-meaning response to vaccine mandate proponents might be, "Have you ever drunk too much fluoridated water?"

 **LIKED (21)**  **REPLY (2)**



**SaHiB** SaHiB Oct 22

Mandated? What, a recommendation to subscribe to fluoridated municipal water service? Or just drink what they provide?

 **LIKE (3)**  **REPLY (1)**



**Drew Skonberg,DC** Dr. Drew's Newsletter Oct 22 *Edited*

My hometown, Newburgh, NY and Kingston were the trial cities. Not mandated but decided by the "authorities."

 **LIKE (7)**  **REPLY**



UnExperts Inc. UnExperts Inc. Oct 26

"Just like fluoride, which is now being banned from water supplies."

Wayne, are you being objective here with the info you are disseminating to the gullib  
No such thing as fluoride 'is now being banned from water supplies'. 2? TWO states  
being banned'? And Kennedy never gave them an ultimatum. He only "advised" then  
about banning fluoride.

"As of October 26, 2025, Utah and Florida are the only two states that have enacted  
adding fluoride to public drinking water. Utah's law, signed by Governor Spencer Cox  
27, 2025, specifically targets fluoride, while Florida's ban was included in the broad  
Farm Bill (Senate Bill 700), signed by Governor Ron DeSantis on May 15, 2025, which  
unapproved additives in public water systems. Florida's law went into effect on July  
These actions follow national debates and reviews by federal agencies, including the  
EPA, regarding fluoride's public health impact."

♡ LIKE (2)    💬 REPLY



Caroline  Oct 22

♡ Liked by Steve Kirsch

When it comes to vaccine mandates we should ALL be able to say "I just don't wanna!" Ji  
Zervos said about why he didn't want to submit his vaxx/unvaxxed study results.

♡ LIKED (40)    💬 REPLY (2)



WayneBGood  | Protest Oct 22

Burn!

♡ LIKE (5)    💬 REPLY



Cathy Hood Cathy's Substack Oct 22

I thought Dr. Zervos study is very interesting, and wish everyone should read it.

♡ LIKE (7)    💬 REPLY



mejbcart mejbcart's Newsletter Oct 22

♥ Liked by Steve Kirsch

"difference in risk of all-cause mortality among fully vaccinated versus undervaccinated children"???

wait few years or decades and see, how many will still be alive from both groups.

Covid 'vaccinated', means genetically MODIFIED!!!!

♥ LIKE (23)    💬 REPLY (1)



SaHiB SaHiB Oct 22 Edited

I suspect you be correct. All that inflammation (such as encephalitis, wrongly termed allergies, and autoimmunity has no effect on life expectancy?

♥ LIKE (9)    💬 REPLY



MatthewJohn MatthewJohn Oct 22

"I'm baffled why not a single member of Congress is pointing this out."

Gee, you would almost think they were paid off by pharma....

At least NASCAR drivers are honest enough to wear patches identifying their sponsors. Congress ought to be required to do the same.

♥ LIKE (26)    💬 REPLY (2)



buddhi buddhi Oct 22

That's a really great idea.

♥ LIKE (8)    💬 REPLY



Bart 69 ⓧ Jan 16

Thank ♥ you Ralf Nader

(whose "Super Rallies" filled stadiums in the 2000 Presidential Campaign, yet he was prevented from joining the flaccid "Debates", despite being more popular than Bush combined!)

♥ LIKE    💬 REPLY



**Jeff Schreiber**  Jeff Schreiber Oct 22



I look at kids nowadays and something isn't right. Very different than 30-40 years ago w/ generation was becoming parents (not that they were perfect angels). But they're distant unresponsive and seem very spaced out. There are exceptions but do we really want to s this one day responsible for this planet?

 LIKE (22)  REPLY (3)



**Janine Pera** Janine's Substack Oct 22 *Edited*

Kids used to be vibrant.

 LIKE (14)  REPLY (1)



**Robyn S**  Robyn S Oct 22

Yep, I agree! My kids are vibrant; very alive! They're also completely unjabbed! / very well. But almost all the other kids I see? So few of them seem 'vibrant' - the word to use. I like it.

And we know why that is, don't we? They're poisoned.

I see kids, even primary school aged kids, who often look depressed, sad, vacar 'flat affect' - and some even look a bit deranged! Their walks are often 'funny' a look like they have no hope. Some are also zany, like they have an excess of ene don't know how to use it properly?

Any which way, it's not right. These kids are not going to manage the planet, let own lives well at all! And I hate to think what their own kids are going to be like. I be disastrous! In just a short few generations, no-one will know what a 'normal' unless they know some unjabbed families!

 LIKE (5)  REPLY (1)



**Janine Pera** Janine's Substack Jan 30

I see the same thing. In addition to the fact that healthy children are vibrant should also be robust.

 LIKE (1)  REPLY



Sandy K Sandy K Oct 22

Yes, I noticed exactly that about 25-30 years ago. The powers-that-shouldn't-be wa out compliant controllable slaves, not high functioning coherent individuals. 🤖

♡ LIKE (12) 💬 REPLY



Caroline ⚠️ Oct 22 Edited

I have noticed this too and I think it's getting worse in a short amount of time. My you 19 and I notice a lot more weird behavior and spaciness in his peers than my oldest c My middle daughter is a senior in college majoring in engineering and she tells me st of classmates with autistic tendencies. She calls it having "a touch of the tism." That a good way to describe it. My 3 kids are a lot less vaxxed because I skipped some or schedule and stopped vaxxing all of them when my son was 1 1/2 and just didn't see remember him breaking out in hives and staring a lot. Intellectually and emotionally r excelling. I think they recovered from most, if not all, of any brain damage from the v. However, unfortunately my oldest has an autoimmune condition and my second envi allergies.

♡ LIKE (5) 💬 REPLY (1)



Timea Biro ⚠️ Timea's Substack Oct 23

My daughter is 30 and a graduate student at Brown and tells us that so many of students have some kind of mental or physical disability, yet these young adults academically bright and high achievers among their peers. I have three children, daughter is the oldest, and I stopped vaccinating all three of them after she dev viral meningitis after her "well checkup" vaccination at the age of 9. It was a terri experience! After that I followed my gut feelings and decided no more vaccines children. Since they are 3 years apart, my daughter ended up getting the most p it shows truly in their overall health. She gets all the respiratory illnesses several year no matter how healthy her lifestyle is - organic, whole food only diet, daily e plenty of sleep and supplementation with vitamins and minerals, plus she got m and allergies. The two younger ones are male and besides the healthy diet they (thanks to me, since they still live at home), their lifestyle is a lot less healthy tha daughter's, yet they hardly ever get sick.

♡ LIKED (5) 💬 REPLY



Franklin O'Kanu  Unorthodoxy Oct 22

 Liked by Steve Kirsch

Vaccines are a deadly practice that we should stop immediately! It comes from Rothschild influenced as I wrote here: <https://unorthodoxy.substack.com/p/rich-people-do-magic>

Here's more of the work I've written on the topic—specifically autism:

<https://unorthodoxy.substack.com/p/how-vaccines-cause-autism-breaking>

 LIKE (18)  REPLY




chad chewing the cud with chad Oct 22

 Liked by Steve Kirsch

Vaccines have never been a factor in reducing death from disease:

<https://learntherisk.org/vaccines/diseases/>

Most (if not all) disease-related deaths were in decline before the vaccines for those diseases were introduced.

 LIKE (17)  REPLY (1)



SaHiB SaHiB Oct 22

But did they increase death rates?

 LIKE (11)  REPLY



Michael G. Fons  Michael's Substack Oct 22

I like to remind people that Norway and Sweden don't have vaccine mandates for children's school, and they have higher life expectancy than we do in the USA.

 LIKED (21)  REPLY



Fred C. Lane  Oct 22

 Liked by Steve Kirsch

You are baffled? I doubt that. We both know it's about the money. The Pharma industry spends enormous dollars on lobbying and on candidates who support their mandatory vaccine policies.

 LIKE (14)  REPLY



Primum non nocere Primum non nocere Oct 22

♥ Liked by Steve Kirsch

It is unlawful to mandate the shots in the absence of a National Public Health emergency. All vaccines. All of them, are legally deemed unavoidably unsafe products for human use. unavoidable unsafe dogma that was used to justify the 1986 Vax Law.

Recent plandemic SCOTUS ruling set the precedent for vaccine mandates. Childhood vac schedule is not in existence because of an existing health emergency.

Don't care about the science. Courts don't either. Courts do care about the unlawful appl health care mandates.

♥ LIKED (14) 💬 REPLY (1)



Dingo Roberts Dingo Roberts Oct 22

"It is unlawful to mandate the shots."

The sentence ends right there. Have you learned nothing over the past five years?

♥ LIKE (6) 💬 REPLY (1)



SaHiB SaHiB Oct 22

Who cares? Let them (whoever "they" be) recommend whatever they like. Be ca about acting on those recommendations, however.

♥ LIKE 💬 REPLY (1)



Dingo Roberts Dingo Roberts Oct 22

Recommend  $\neq$  mandate.

♥ LIKE 💬 REPLY (1)



SaHiB SaHiB Oct 23

Doch! <https://americasbestpics.com/picture/mandate-legal-definition-law-dictionary-11th-ed-mandate-4-vOWnF1KB9>

Just cut the misleads already!

♥ LIKE 💬 REPLY (1)



Dingo Roberts Dingo Roberts Oct 23

Again: have you learned nothing over the past five years or are all vaccine refusers lying?

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)



SaHiB SaHiB Oct 23

Your lying is not appreciated.

Stop it, already! If it were a "mandate", refusers would not have been fired

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)



Dingo Roberts Dingo Roberts Oct 23

"Florida's Governor Plans to End All Childhood Vaccine Mandates"

"The top health official in Florida said he will move forward with a plan to REMOVE LEGAL REQUIREMENTS FOR CHILDREN TO RECEIVE THE the diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis (DTaP), polio, measles, mumps and rubella (MMR), chicken pox, B, haemophiles influenza type b (Hib), hepatitis B, varicella (chickenpox), and pneumococcal conjugate (PCV15/20) TO ATTEND SCHOOL."

Why do they refer to them as "legal requirements" if they're not required?

<https://thevaccinereaction.org/2025/09/floridas-governor-plans-to-end-all-childhood-vaccine-mandates/>

"Mask Mandates Return to California With Fines and Prison Violations"

Why can you be fined and put in prison if they're not required if you don't approve?

<https://thevaccinereaction.org/2024/10/mask-mandates-return-to-california-with-fines-and-prison-for-violations/>

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)



SaHiB SaHiB Oct 23

Mandates are written, legal requests; not requireme them for termination, or even prosecution, fining, or imprisonment, under false pretenses! Judges and le are expected (required; not merely "mandated"), to law. Kalifornication is notorious for corruption of the language. (E.g., toxin and singular "phenomena".) V dictionaries also prohibited from Kalifornia judges' c and its legislooture, as well as newsrooms?

Here's more:

<https://rumble.com/v3b5ib2-mandates-are-not-law-not-comply.html>

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY



Cheryl Cheryl Oct 22

♡ Liked by Steve Kirsch

They told us right from the beginning that kids were NOT IN DANGER COVID but if they g a very mild case. Once there was a vaccine, suddenly kids could get very sick with COVIC

♡ LIKED (12)    💬 REPLY (1)



Kathleen Taylor ✨ Kathleen Taylor Oct 22

AND they stressed their suspicious claim that children could be "asymptomatic" cari covid, thereby "killing Grandma."

♡ LIKE (5)    💬 REPLY



Alan Couchman ✨ Alan Couchman Oct 22

♡ Liked by Steve Kirsch

Further, "mortality" is probably the only metric in which they could not find a detrimental

♡ LIKE (10)    💬 REPLY



AMV  Annette's Substack Oct 22 Edited

Steve, members of congress are not pointing this out because I hear they receive payer pharma into their pockets and for their political benefit.

 LIKED (13)  REPLY (1)



Cousin Clem  Cousin's Substack Oct 23

One only needs to watch the rabid senators (maybe they didn't get their rabies jabs) RFKjr at those hearings to see how those payments worked out. The paid shills defer vaccines for their benefactors with gusto.

 LIKE (3)  REPLY (1)



AMV  Annette's Substack Oct 23

For sure CC, absolutely evident!

 LIKE  REPLY



Drew Skonberg,DC Dr. Drew's Newsletter Oct 22 Edited

Revealing how the CDC can make the big leap to a safety conclusion when they've not ac comparing vaxxed vs unvaxxed in this study, just delayed mixed with unvaxxed. Confound purposely with this nonsense study to please the HARMA funders.

 LIKED (12)  REPLY



bob stevenson  Oct 22

There are a few members of Congress who you should contact about this. They are: Sen: Johnson and Paul, and then House members Thomas Massie, Richard Hudson, Gus Bilira Carter, Jason Smith and Steve Womack (the latter six all opposed the covid shot mandate these may introduce legislation that addresses this matter. But, to do this they need to be this CDC study, and members of Congress are overwhelmed all the time with problems ar legislation that need to be addressed and voted on; so, they may not be aware of this stu

 LIKE (9)  REPLY (1)



Chief Justice of Nuremberg 2.0  Oct 22

You mean Obama and Pelosi who celebrated the passing of the Vaccinate All Children Act of 2015 (fast-tracked with no exceptions)? [https://www.congress.gov/bill/114th-congress/bills/2232](https://www.congress.gov/bills/114/congressional-legislation/2015-01-01-to-2015-12-31/bills/114/2232) I published that on Facebook as it happened, that, along with my other 10,000 posts led to Facebook deleting my account on March 13th 2016. @ [NurembergTrials.net](https://www.facebook.com/NurembergTrials.net)

 LIKE (6)  REPLY



Bobby Oct 22

"Vaccine mandates are based on misguided beliefs, not on facts or science." I would say \$\$\$ factor involved as well.

 LIKE (8)  REPLY



Margaret Allison  Margaret Allison Oct 22

Agreed Jacklyn S Myers! My parents tried exposing us on purpose so we would have and was in nursing school and went home and caught the mumps from my younger siblings. I graduated on time with my class. Nursing 45 years. Closer to 80 than 70. Found my medical records when I moved to a new hospital and still had antibodies to the childhood infectious almost 62 then! Throw all the vaccines in a trash barrel and burn them up. Someone make a decision worth hearing please. Thanks, Steve for pushing on!

 LIKE (8)  REPLY



robert adkins  No Longer With Us Oct 22

I don't know why you would be baffled not a single member of congress is pointing this out. They are given substantial incentives by vaxx producers to not point it out. Just like I can't believe not a single member of congress didn't point out the National emergency laws being instituted in every state. They can't say they didn't know because they voted for those laws. How could they just vote for that and not say a word?

 LIKE (8)  REPLY



Terry Stanford Oct 22

There are zero reasons for any vaccines. They are the scourge of modern medicine.

 LIKE (8)  REPLY



ActualData Oct 22

"The science" is the new religion. That means things are taken on faith, and that means a that challenges it, is heresy/blasphemy. Thus the hyper emotional responses. "The scienc from scientific though. It is an opiate for the people. Tickle their ears with things like "you any sex you want" and "you will be protected and happier if you take our holy water".

♡ LIKE (8)    💬 REPLY



Messenger17 Plagiarize This Post Oct 22

Where is the comparison between fully vaxxed and fully unvaxxed children who were born any health issues before the first needle was put in the vaxxed?

♡ LIKE (8)    💬 REPLY (1)



Timea Biro  Timea's Substack Oct 23

Did you see the Ford Health System study here:

<https://www.hsgac.senate.gov/wp-content/uploads/Entered-into-hearing-record-Im Childhood-Vaccination-on-Short-and-Long-Term-Chronic-Health-Outcomes-in-Chi Birth-Cohort-Study.pdf>

Aaron Siri (a man's name!), in his written Senate testimony, wrote a nice intro that wa reader through the paper:

<https://www.hsgac.senate.gov/wp-content/uploads/Siri-Testimony-1.pdf>

This docu was based on the above too:

Downloadable: <https://www.aninconvenientstudy.com>

Watch online: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sPkkjbtO0bM>

♡ LIKE (2)    💬 REPLY (1)



Messenger17 Plagiarize This Post Dec 10

Yes, I saw that, thanks for pointing it out. I actually think Zervos was crazy as the proverbial fox, and knew he was being "covertly recorded". But how can anyone (smiling)? Better a fake dupe than a genuine fraud or a bed with the fish.

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY



Chris  Oct 22

Its a sad day when you realize all the "science" they talk about is simply a pathway for the pharmaceutical industry goal to sell its poisons .

 LIKE (7)  REPLY



Kym Nyysela Otte Oct 22

Steve you are a true modern-day hero. Get this... My son transferred to Univ Nevada Las (UNLV) this fall with 32,000 students and the University is trying to mandate him to get r vaccines (tetanus & meningitis), and they have denied his religious exemption request 3 t are now threatening that he'll be forced to take online course ONLY for the spring semest baffled. It's a crazy world we're living in. I guess our next step is to reach out to lawyers & the Nevada Governor, congressmen, senators CDC, etc.

 LIKE (7)  REPLY (3)



MSB  MSB Oct 22

Anyone who mandate vaccines obviously have high confidence in their safety/efficac Therefore they should be requested to demonstrate that by publicly stating their agr accept both legal and moral liability for any near-term/future adverse reactions. They have no qualms about doing this. If they are uncomfortable, about it, then they really a right to mandate a vaccine. Seems fair that any potential burden is borne by both s

 LIKE (5)  REPLY (1)



SaHiB  SaHiB Oct 23

You accepted the request, so you accept the consequences.

 LIKE  REPLY



MJ  Oct 22


Perhaps the best course of action is for your son to withdraw from UNLV and find a r tyrannical school to attend.

 LIKE (2)  REPLY (1)



Cousin Clem  Cousin's Substack Oct 23

I agree. Plenty of universities have dropped the draconian vaccine mandates.

 LIKE (1)  REPLY



SaHiB SaHiB Oct 23

The "exemption" is "I do not consent."

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY



Randy  Randy's Substack Oct 22

Several things stand out. This is a 2017 study (pre-covid). The comparison was between vaccinated and under vaccinated children. What does under vaccinated mean? Which shots are they missing? All cause mortality (ACM) doesn't take into account any damage (hearing, neurological, skin pockmarks) that might have occurred - that might have been prevented or mitigated by vaccination. If there's really no difference between fully and partially vaccinated outcomes, why did the researchers recommend continuing with the full vaccination schedule? Do medications carry some form of risk to the child? Doesn't make sense. Just comparing ACM to too many open-ended questions to draw a broad conclusion either way. The real issue that seems to be addressed is which (if any) vaccines benefit the child, and which have an unfavorable risk benefit ratio.

♡ LIKE (7)    💬 REPLY



Thomas A Braun RPh  N2E+ for Life Oct 22

I am not baffled. Our DC politicians spend half their political life trolling for \$\$ to keep the office. I went to DC in 2012 and asked my Congressman why they are not addressing the crisis. Uniform answer. "Our hands are tied". If they dared to raise that issue they would not be elected to their political office. Big Pharma would fund a new candidate. Schiff, Cassidy, Schumer, Warren and Blumenthal plus many others in DC personify this issue. They have no soul and will give a damn that 100,000 of our infants each year are diagnosed with a form of autism and it is a major burden for the parents as well as the community.

♡ LIKE (7)    💬 REPLY



ActualData Oct 22

The proper mindset of "first do no harm" (in other words, "don't take any interventions unless there is a clear benefit, because there is always a risk of harm") has been replaced with "why not try that intervention, even if I'm not sure I need it, and even if it might not help because 'just in case' and 'hey, can't hurt' ". Completely turned upside down. Everyone has been taught to believe there is no downside or risk, and to underestimate both.

♡ LIKE (7)    💬 REPLY



**Jackelyn S Myers** Jackelyn's Substack Oct 22

Everyone I knew as a child had measles, mumps, chickenpox and no one I knew died. of some adults who did not get the diseases as a child and had severe consequences with measles or mumps as adults.

That's why parents arranged to get their children exposed on purpose.

LIKE (6) REPLY (1)



**Clark** Clark Oct 22 Edited

My father got mumps from me and my next oldest brother when we were like six or so old after my parents were divorced and we were visiting him and his second wife in t for a month. Apparently, he came down with it after we left. It was pretty bad from re swollen glands in his face and genitals. They thought he was sterile because he and wife weren't able to conceive. They eventually adopted a three day-old boy about 10 later, explaining over the phone that he couldn't conceive because me and my brother lumps, like it was our fault. However, as family stories tend to come out over the year heard that at some point, probably after the adoption, he had a vasectomy. One expl was an unexpected pregnancy, not his wife's. My uncle on my mother's side who had for my father for a few years back in those days, at a family gathering started to men something about us having a half sibling, assuming we knew about it, but when he re sister didn't know what he was talking about, he clammed up. We will never know. Bu would mean that the fertility problems were not necessarily on his side, but could've wife's problem also. By the way, when it happened that he got mumps and was pres infertile, my mother said it was poetic justice.

LIKE (1) REPLY



**Marnie Vail** Marnie's Substack Oct 22

No doubt the "logic" behind the mandates has to do with money and power; and. on a mu sinister level, the control and population reduction agenda. Sad state of affairs. Resist!

LIKE (6) REPLY



**shaman54inPennsylvania** shaman54inPennsylvania Oct 22

"Baffled" you say... I'll tell ya why, they're too damned busy shoving bucks into their pants! Plain and simple greed.

LIKE (6) REPLY



Chief Justice of Nuremberg 2.0  Oct 22 Edited

You mean Obama and Pelosi who celebrated the passing of the Vaccinate All Children Act (fast-tracked with no exceptions)? <https://www.congress.gov/bill/114th-congress/house-bills/1135> published that on Facebook as it happened, that, along with my other 10,000 posts, led to deleting my account on March 13th 2016. @ [NurembergTrials.net](https://www.facebook.com/NurembergTrials.net)

 LIKE (6)  REPLY



buddhi buddhi Oct 22

I've read that pediatricians say unvaxxed kids are the healthiest.

 LIKED (7)  REPLY



Birdingmom  Birdingmom Oct 22



Agree completely! Just this week, Hoosiers for Medical Liberty won a victory for medical parental rights when a judge denied DCS's motion to override religious exemption and for vaccinate multiple children in foster placement.

 LIKED (6)  REPLY (1)



SaHiB SaHiB Oct 23

Do not consent!

 LIKED (1)  REPLY



Bridget Faria Oct 22

Fuk #pigpharma

 LIKE (5)  REPLY



Tish Fedora Tish Fedora Oct 22

Im not baffles as to why politicians are not pointing out the obvious fact that vaccines do lives, they profit from Big Pharma in many cases.

 LIKE (5)  REPLY



Michael Oct 24

How on earth do we overcome herd mentality and the spell the majority of people are under? Vaccines good, anti-vaxers bad. Big pharma good, opposes bad. Science always right, or anti-science. Etc.

❤️ LIKED (4) 💬 REPLY (1)



Joy Reynolds Joy Reynolds Oct 24

I think their "priest" (of the vaccine religion) has to tell them, or be exposed for the li  
Midwestern Doctor is trying to do this exposure.

"The Century of Forgotten Vaccine Hot Lot Disasters"

<https://www.midwesterndoctor.com/p/the-century-of-forgotten-vaccine>

"Vaccine Amnesia: How The Media Used To Cover Vaccine Disasters"

<https://www.midwesterndoctor.com/p/vaccine-amnesia-how-the-media-used>

"How Vaccine Brain Injuries Were Rebranded and Swept Under the Rug"

<https://www.midwesterndoctor.com/p/how-vaccine-brain-injuries-were-rebranded>

❤️ LIKED (1) 💬 REPLY



Helen Lu Oct 22

Vaccines have been hailed as the world's greatest religion, but in reality, they are a cult. Children are forced to receive vaccines in order to attend kindergarten and school. What is a class action lawsuit from parents of children seriously injured by mandatory vaccination schools, suing the schools and the CDC. We don't need to focus solely on the 1986 Act; we can deter all schools from mandating vaccinations. In fact, universities that mandate the COVID vaccine should be sued first. That way, fewer parents will be deceived. If schools don't dare mandate vaccinations, the existence of the 1986 Act will be irrelevant.

❤️ LIKE (4) 💬 REPLY



Fred Stevens Oct 22

We need Nuremberg trials.

❤️ LIKE (4) 💬 REPLY



Fmrplt Oct 22

Any and all childhood deaths should be required to run a blood test for metal contamination particular - aluminum.

♡ LIKE (4)    💬 REPLY



Sam 🗑 Sam Oct 22

You're baffled? Well don't be- congress don't want to impact drug co. profits, do they?

♡ LIKE (4)    💬 REPLY (1)



Barbara Charis 🗑 Barbara's Newsletter - Journey ... Oct 22

Right on! Its all about the gratuities Big Pharma dispenses. It has raked in trillions with our government who got kickbacks for its promoting toxic products from the vaccine manufacturers who were going to go out of business. The vaccine manufacturers were burdened with so many multi- million dollar lawsuits for their dangerous and defective products in the 1980s. Good health is created by natural unprocessed foods and the right lifestyle. The ingredients in these injections destroy the internal organs in the body. The vaccine industry gets credit for the drop in childhood diseases, when better nutrition and sanitation were responsible. If our government had not given the Vaccine Promoters NO Liability for injuries and deaths under the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, they would have been put out of business. We would not have had this Pandemic Fiasco, which has wiped out multi- millions to

♡ LIKE (4)    💬 REPLY



Justin Observer Oct 22

"I'm baffled why not a single member of Congress is pointing this out."

I'm not. The almighty \$\$\$ speaks all languages... They will only speak up when Trump and the war like Trump and Hegseth have on the cartels. Then they will all fall in behind... We are proverbial, but necessary for dotted i's and crossed t's at this stage... Stay tuned though. It will happen in due course....

♡ LIKE (3)    💬 REPLY (1)



Cousin Clem  Cousin's Substack Oct 23

Trump and RFKjr will not start any war the pharma cartels. Trump is even making new deals with them to sell cheaper drugs with his name even on the new deal --TrumpRX. How much did he have to license his name to that? The vast majority of the public still believe in vaccinations and think that the covid shots are the outliers from the rest of the "safe and effective" shots. In his most recent comments, Trump even said how vaccines are important and that most are very good. It's all Tylenol's fault, after all. He has no intention of eradicating them.

 LIKE  REPLY (2)



\_Jim Oct 23

Showing a limited-depth knowledge of politics here, esp. WRT Trump.

 LIKE (1)  REPLY (1)



Cousin Clem  Cousin's Substack Oct 24

He literally had Bourla in the White House standing next to him as he announced Trump RX. He is making drugs MORE accessible, not encouraging lessening usage. I suppose I don't know what his advisors are telling him but I doubt he listens to anyone outside of his inner circle. You think he's playing 4D chess but the economy depends too much on companies like pharma growing. The poor health of the country is helping keep millions employed. Cancer centers, hospitals, drug store corner, medical parks all employing millions. Keeping the sick just good enough to still do their jobs while maintaining customers for life. Vaccines provide a way to maintain a level of chronic disease but still allow most to still be good workers. The problem is the house of cards is collapsing as more and more children develop chronic diseases and can't work and depend on gov't funds to live. An economist wrote a paper showing how we will not be able to afford the flood of autistic citizens. The collapse of our economy eventually. THIS is a national crisis and I may not have a deep understanding of politics but I see no movement towards eradicating vaccines or slowing their demand. All projections show a continued rise in their use and profits. Don't expect Trump or any president to change this.

 LIKE (1)  REPLY



Justin Observer Oct 23

Wait for the narrative change... The script alters in this movie...

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY



Gunther Heinz Word's Salad Oct 22

This is like saying that NOT going to confession doesn't really matter when it comes to ei hell. Or not ending up in hell. Or purgatory. Or whatever.

♡ LIKE (3)    💬 REPLY (1)



\_Jim Oct 22

You've been through this compete cycle? I'm just looking for validation of your obser here ...

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)



Gunther Heinz Word's Salad Oct 23

I base all my knowledge of religion on the comic book version of THE LIFE OF M LUTHER, distributed free of charge in Lutheran catechism class. All the raunchy antisemitic parts were left out, but not the beer drinking. This is the only thing tl Lutherans had over the Catholics, you know.

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)



\_Jim Oct 23

One man, reforming ALL that preceded him, puts him in category of other s authors that thought they had refined to a new essence, escaping the cros: and cross checks performed heretofore on the (written, dogmatic and then 'works' by others. Kind of the 'Fauci' of his time then? Just bringing this ba: topic at hand on Steve's blog.

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY (1)



Gunther Heinz Word's Salad Oct 23

You mean, did Martin Luther get a booster? Well, I just don't know.

♡ LIKE    💬 REPLY



AJoy  AJoy Oct 22

But yet they still recommend the entire Vax schedule :/

 LIKE (3)  REPLY



RebelAlliance\_JMJ  RebelAlliance\_JMJ Oct 22

Follow the money 💰 train 🚂 they are funded by big Pharma... since the Rockefellers toc

 LIKE (3)  REPLY



Henry Engelking  Henry's Substack Oct 22

Baffled, me too 😅

 LIKE (3)  REPLY



buddhi buddhi Oct 22

Here's a 2020 study.

"Higher ORs were observed within the vaccinated versus unvaccinated group for develop delays, asthma and ear infections."

<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7268563/>

 LIKE (3)  REPLY



Jer Oct 25

CONgress is not stopping vaccine mandates because they are mostly CON-men and THII i noted the paper was written in 2017!!!! Those KILLERS AT CDC AND FDA will be held to : their CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY!!!! AND, they will lose EVERY CENT THEY HAVE...whe gotten gains or not. Yes, Virginia--there IS JUSTICE and it is BEING SERVED HOT!

 LIKED (2)  REPLY



Jeff barton  Jeff barton Oct 24

I agree with this article, but how would you counter the argument that heard immunity fro majority vaccinated population is protecting the unvaxed children?

 LIKED (2)  REPLY



Carol J. Greiner Oct 23

As well, parents are compromising their children's health, both present and future, and qu possibility their very lives.

❤️ LIKED (2)    💬 REPLY



Sunlit Wings Oct 23

The documentary was phenomenal!! I've watched it twice. Thank God for the whole ICAN Injuries and deaths from these jabs are criminal beyond. The sooner they're tried in court better, perhaps beginning with antitrust violations, as cutting off people's options seems panic state of mind, and subsequent irrationality.

But this time make sure the criminals go behind bars.

What I'm specifically looking for is death from what they've been jabbed for.

Thus,

Completely unvaxxed deaths from pertussis vs vaxxed deaths from pertussis.

Here's my reasoning:

Why get a DPT shot if no one dies of DPT who is unvaxxed? Why MMR?

Etc.

If more children die of tetanus who were jabbed than unjabbed, then the parents will insta that jabs are useless for purpose, harmful, lethal, and therefore criminal.

I'm thinking of a European family in Canada- 4 generations now - who not only have neve pertussis or any of those diseases, but who are robustly healthy, with exactly zero specia children.

Add the subsequent injuries and deaths from the jabs that aren't even tetanus, as An Incc Study has brilliantly done, and the makers and jab-pushers can be sent off to spend the r lives in orange onesies.

❤️ LIKED (2)    💬 REPLY



Janine Pera Janine's Substack Oct 23

Kids should be robust and vibrant, and full of joy and wonder.

❤️ LIKED (2)    💬 REPLY



RA  RA Oct 23 Edited

Other than making profits on these apparently unsafe and unefficacious products, you will be thinking that their other purpose is population reduction.

Simple logic.

 LIKE (2)  REPLY



Me Oct 23

"We excluded children with complex chronic conditions, contraindications to vaccination, deaths due to injuries, congenital anomalies, or diseases with onset prior to 19 months of age. We now have evidence to reasonably assume that many of these are in actual fact caused by vaccination, so this exclusion is somewhat laughable, is it not?"

 LIKE (2)  REPLY



Indyconservative Oct 23

I read a longer summary of the study and the authors seem to be spiking the football and saying that vaccines are safe because there's no difference in mortality between the two groups. Wouldn't you give kids vaccines? I would ask if there's no difference in mortality then why give kids vaccines at all? Unfortunately, the authors did not study morbidity or short or long term side effects of vaccines between the two groups but the studies exist and they're damn good. Vaccines.

 LIKE (2)  REPLY (1)



Cousin Clem  Cousin's Substack Oct 23

Yes. So rather than mortality, let's look at chronic illness between vax vs unvax. The answer is clear.

 LIKE (3)  REPLY (1)



Indyconservative Oct 23

Exactly. By the way, the difference in numbers of deaths (7 vs 11) between the groups was not statistically significant....although they excluded from selection some children who were curious if that was appropriate in some cases. Anyway, I'd be curious as to the exact numbers of deaths between the two groups and what that might say about each group, but that information doesn't seem to be provided.

 LIKE (2)  REPLY



carolyn kostopoulos When Wokes Collide Oct 22

you'd think they wanted to increase the autistic population

LIKE (2) REPLY



robert adkins No Longer With Us Oct 22

Another thing must be considered here. Our nation is always in crisis mode, sometime multiple crisis at the same time. Our poor congress people probably just simply don't have time w dealing with all the other crisis.

LIKE (2) REPLY (1)



Nancy Parsons Nancy Parsons Oct 22

You just described WHY our nation is always in crisis mode: to make sure that no one has the time or presence of mind to effectively deal with any of it. The shorthand term for this is "psyop," and it's very definitely planned.

LIKE (2) REPLY



Jr GAS Oct 22

Congress! Are you kidding. They will be the last ones to get on board. Keep up shining the light

LIKE (2) REPLY



Mark Duncan Stuart Haibundt Oct 22

I asked ChatGPT the following:

Questions/comments:

1. It seems as though there were no completely unvaccinated children in the study?
2. Did it run for long enough to account for diseases that take time to manifest, such as COVID-19?
3. Their findings, in the last sentence, cannot be justifiably made based on the limited national study?

What do you think?

----

This was its response:

Excellent questions — and you're reading this abstract very perceptively. Let's take these questions one by one and unpack what's going on under the surface:

1. "No completely unvaccinated children?"

Correct.

The study states it compared children "vaccinated according to the schedule" with those or not vaccinated for at least one vaccine dose."

That phrasing implies "undervaccinated" means partially vaccinated or delayed, not fully unvaccinated.

In the Vaccine Safety Datalink (VSD), there are very few completely unvaccinated children; researchers often exclude them because:

- \* sample sizes are too small for statistically meaningful results, and

- \* families who entirely refuse vaccination tend to differ in many confounding ways (health access, socioeconomic status, etc.) that make fair comparison difficult.

So yes — no completely unvaccinated group was analyzed here.

2. "Was the duration long enough for diseases with delayed onset (e.g., cancer)?"

No, not really.

The study followed children from birth through 48 months (4 years) — focusing on deaths that occurred between 19 and 48 months.

That time frame captures short-term all-cause mortality (accidents, infections, SIDS, acute conditions) but not long-latency outcomes like cancers, autoimmune diseases, or neurodevelopmental conditions that could appear years later.

So, this study cannot speak to long-term mortality or late-onset diseases; it's strictly about childhood death rates.

3. "Can their conclusion ('supports the safety... with regard to all-cause mortality') really be justified?"

You're right to be skeptical.

While the data itself is valid within its narrow window, the language of the conclusion is broader than the evidence supports.

Key limitations that temper the conclusion:

- \* Tiny number of deaths (only 18 total!) — too few for any robust statistical comparison.

- \* Wide confidence intervals (e.g., 0.33–4.99 and 0.32–2.99) show huge uncertainty; the true difference could be in either direction.

\* Short follow-up and no unvaccinated group mean they only tested whether following the increased short-term death risk — not overall “safety” in a broad sense.

So a more cautious interpretation would be:

“In this sample, during early childhood, no statistically significant difference in all-cause mortality was observed between those following the schedule and those who were undervaccinated.”

That’s very different from “supports the safety of the immunization schedule”, which overgeneralizes beyond what the data can sustain.

In summary:

✅ No unvaccinated children were included.

⚠️ The follow-up was too short for diseases with long latency.

🚫 The conclusion overstates what can be inferred — statistically, this was an underpowered short-term mortality study, not a comprehensive safety analysis.

♡ LIKE (2)    💬 REPLY (1)



Jon Erling Edvardsen Oct 22

Thank you. I like the reply. Seems like the study has very wide confidence intervals. I would compare vaccinated with unvaccinated - like the Zervos study.

♡ LIKE (3)    💬 REPLY



Red Pill Poet Red Pill Poems Oct 22

I would have thought an honest study would have shown that fully vaccinated children have a lower risk of all-cause mortality than undervaccinated children.

♡ LIKE (2)    💬 REPLY



Kirk Moore, MD The Price of Ethics Jan 16

Steve, you should not be baffled in the least. The single, solitary reason is because our politicians are beholden to the money from the Big Pharma corporations, many of whom are also profoundly compromised for other darker, more criminally corrupt reasons, as well.

❤️ LIKED (1)    💬 REPLY



Don't be a Click Don't be a click Dec 4

If you're pro-vax, you should want informed consent.

If you're anti-vax, you should want informed consent.

This should be the one topic we all agree on.

❤️ LIKED (1) 💬 REPLY



BeeLOVEia 🐝💖🌹🏆🐝👑💖 Oct 28 Edited

YEAH and Kids are still banned from schools in NY, CA, MAINE & Connecticut if they aren't the parents had their religious exemptions taken away! But we have been paying school taxes since 2019 here in NY so OTHER kids could go to school! WITH this data Trump needs to overturn these states and really

all states parents should have the OPTION to v or NOT - no RE or Belief exemption needed parent making a decision for THEIR child's body they created and care for!

❤️ LIKED (1) 💬 REPLY



Joy Reynolds Joy Reynolds Oct 24

See "Medical Countermeasures and faked "public health emergencies" obviate informed consent where Sasha Latypova proposes a legal strategy to get informed consent through the state of federal govt.

<https://sashalatyova.substack.com/p/medical-countermeasures-and-faked>

❤️ LIKED (1) 💬 REPLY



Transcriber B Transcriber B's Substack Oct 23

Thank you, Steve Kirsch!!

Re: "I'm baffled why not a single member of Congress is pointing this out."

LOL

♡ LIKE (1) 💬 REPLY



TnDoc 🌀 TnDoc Oct 23

"...I'm baffled why not a single member of Congress is pointing this out...."

One should not expect service-to-others from the Club of Psychopathy.

♡ LIKE (1) 💬 REPLY



Marcion Marcion's Substack Oct 23

are you really baffled, though? after what's happened to you? you've been treated like a p  
media, politicians, colleagues.

anyone from congress who dares speak this publicly would be called an anti-science bab  
a loop on every network for months leading up to midterms. it would be like giving their o  
\$100 million in free advertising.

i know more people are questioning, but not enough to overcome the largest propaganda  
in the history of the world. it's a sad state that we're in and i don't have the answer.

LIKED (1) REPLY



Jon Schultz The World According to Me Oct 22

Perhaps the bigger problem is "compulsory education" funded by taxation without proper  
representation - as the methods used to hold elections aren't terribly democratic (see  
[MEVoting.com](https://MEVoting.com) which I'm hoping to improve soon). Instead of kids attending public school  
huge numbers other kids - which is a breeding ground for disease - the tax money being  
to fund public education could be kept by parents to fund private education which would  
crowded, plus the parents could choose a school with vaccination and curriculum policies.  
But undemocratic governments always want to brainwash the kids...

LIKE (1) REPLY (1)



Cousin Clem Cousin's Substack Oct 23

I disagree with the belief that schools are "breeding grounds for disease" any more t  
other public place is. What you are suggesting is that lock-downs are an effective wa  
control disease and that the only way to remain healthy is to isolate oneself from the  
world. You are still believing the old school(no pun intended) story of contagion.

LIKE (1) REPLY (2)



\_Jim Oct 23

Thinking here is you never had 3 or 4 young kids attending daily schools of any

LIKE (1) REPLY



**Jon Schultz**  The World According to Me Oct 23

I didn't say "more than any other public place is," I think we should all be careful crowded in public places of any kind, especially if immunocompromised. I'm not government-mandated lockdowns or mask use but I think numerous doctors in medical freedom movement would agree that wearing an N95 in situations where not only likely to be exposed to pathogens but high doses of them, i.e. on a plane be a good idea for at least some people.

 LIKE  REPLY



**peter blatch** peter blatch Oct 22

How much pHarma money has the likes of Warren, Cassidy and sanders etc received, and favours were required for such "donations"?

 LIKE (1)  REPLY



**Ross S** Oct 22

We have medically stunted morons running the show with disastrous consequences.

 LIKE (1)  REPLY



**Ralph** Ralph's Substack Oct 22

It couldn't be clearer! Absolutely no benefit but major downsides.

Recommending them is pure evil.

 LIKE (1)  REPLY